Available online at: http://www.ijmtst.com/vol5issue08.html
International Journal for Modern Trends in Science and Technology
ISSN: 2455-3778 :: Volume: 05, Issue No: 08, August 2019

CSR and Firms Performance A case of Pakistan

Muneeb Ahmad

MS Scholar, Liaoning Technical University, China

To Cite this Article
Muneeb Ahmad "CSR and Firms Performance A case of Pakistan", *International Journal for Modern Trends in Science and Technology*, Vol. 05, Issue 08, August 2019, pp.: 88-90.

Article Info
Received on 10-July-2019, Revised on 08-August-2019, Accepted on 14-August-2019, Published on 19-August-2019.

ABSTRACT

This paper examines the relationship between the corporate governance and firm's performance for the case of Pakistani context. Corporate governance has been studied by many variables this study took foreign directors as the proxy of the corporate governance in this study. The study revealed that there is positive direct relationship between foreign directors and firms performance.

KEYWORDS: *Foreign direct Investment, Firm's performance, Pakistan and Corporate Governance*

I. Introduction

Intention of this research is to inspect the influence of corporate governance on organization performance and if overseas directors impact the financial outcomes of any firm in India? After partition of Management and ownership the significance of corporate governance have arisen. Due to some high profile frauds suchlike WorldCom (Pandey & Verma, 2005), Adelphia (NBC News, 2005) and Enron (Dibra, 2016), corporate governance experienced attentiveness. Those scandals serve as the Stimulus to the most extensive corporate governance rules (Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2012) in the history of US since 70 years. (Garvey & Swan, 1994) Defined the corporation as an integration of explicit and implicit contracts and Governance determines how the association's administrators really control such contracts. It helps to achieve ultimate task of shareholder's wealth expansion. If we look at more broad and detailed perception of corporate governance, (Zingales, 1998) explained it as "allocation of ownership, capital structure, managerial incentive schemes, takeovers, board of directors, pressure from institutional investors, product market competition, labor market competition, organizational structure, etc., can all be thought of as institutions that affect the process through which quasi-rents are distributed".

Recent Studies on impact of corporate governance on firm performance, bigger boards appear to likewise make positive performance impact(Ciftci, Tatoglu, Wood, Demirbag, & Zaim, 2019), (Pillai & Al-Malkawi, 2018) outcomes demonstrate that board size and other board compositions altogether influence the Financial performance, (Hussain, Rigoni, & Orij, 2018) no single theory completely represents all the connections between corporate governance and firm performance, and (Mutlu, Peng, Essen, Saleh, & Duran, 2018) uncover that components of the board identified with organization performance. Most of the researches on corporate governance embraced in developed nations such as UK and USA etc., there is comparatively less research on developing countries. Several factors, such as Patriarchy social system, one of the best developing countries, Corporate Governance case at Sathyam computer services ltd (Vishwanath & Narapareddy, 2014), multiple social and cultural systems, attraction for international businesses to move their in country and becoming second best country for FDIs after China (foreign investors of developed economies face higher standards of corporate governance in their origin countries) etc. have risen the need of corporate governance in India. With sample of 458 companies (Group A, Group T and Group Z in BSE), motivation behind this research is to Examine the effect of corporate administration on company execution in India.

Impact of Board Size, non-independent directors and independent directors on company's performance. (ROA, ROE)

The principal-agent issue happens when a principal makes a situation in which an agent's interests don't line up with those of the principal. For the most part, the onus is on the principal to generate rewards for the agent to guarantee they go about as the principal needs him to perform. This incorporates everything from financial benefits to shirking of information asymmetry (Information disappointment, happens when one party to an economic exchange has more material information than the other). The partition of control happens when a principal contracts an agent, and the costs that the principal brings about while managing an agent can be characterized as organization costs. These agency expenses can emerge out of setting up financial or moral incentive forces set up to urge the agent to act with a certain way. The principal-agent issue was first expounded on during the 1970s by scholars from the fields of institutional and economics theory. (Jensen & Meckling, 1976)Sketched out a theory of ownership structure that would be planned so as to keep away from what they characterized as agency cost and its relationship to the problem of separation and control.

The study will test the following hypothesis

H1: Foreign directors can have positive effect on organization's performance.

Variables	Definitions
Independent Variable	
Foreign Directors	Proportion of directors having foreign nationalityin board
Dependent Variables	
ROA	Division of net income by total assets
ROE	Division of net income by shareholder's equity

II. Data and Methodology

a) Regression Model

This study has use simple linear regression. It is a stastical technique that examined the relationship among two variables. Dependent variable or response variable is denoted by y and independent or explanatory variable denoted by x. This statistical relation between two variables can be expressed as the form Y=a+bX, where Y is the dependent variable (that's the variable that goes on the Y axis), X is the independent variable (i.e. it is plotted on the X axis), b is the slope of the line and a is the y-intercept. This study has used simple linear regression analysis instead of multiple linear regression because it had to study the impact of all performance variables individually on return on assets and return on equity. So, every independent variable had to be tested separately with ROA and ROE.

2) Return on Assets (ROA)

	Co-efficient	p	DW Stat
PFD	0.06	0.00***	1.59

*, **, *** indicates significance at the 10%, 5%, 1%, levels

3) Return on Equity (ROE)

	Co-efficient	p	DW Stat
PFD	0.78	0.00***	1.99

*, **, *** indicates significance at the 10%, 5%, 1%, levels

III. Conclusion

Today, corporate governance has been developed as an important area for research. Some arrangements to concentrate governance of operations to achieve the ultimate purpose for business of investor's wealth maximization. Literature have debated on this issue of conflict of benefits amongst managers and investors. A good corporate governance will always assure the best use of company's resources and work efficiently to increase the wealth of company's investors.

There is a lot of research in developed countries related to this issue like UK and USA etc. but comparatively less research in developed countries. So, my research will contribute in the area of study in several ways. By using database and financial reports of companies, the results will develop our knowledge of CG with the framework of agency theory in India. Results showed the impact of various corporate governance characteristics on organization's performance within India. Other developing countries neighboring to India with same kind of social political or economic environment can have similar results.

REFERENCES

[1] Pandey, S. C., & Verma, P. (2005, April-June). ORGANIZATIONAL DECLINE AND TURNAROUND: INSIGHTS FROM THE WORLDCOM CASE. *The Journal of Business Perspective, 9*(2), 51-65.

[2] Dibra, R. (2016, June). Corporate Governance Failure: The Case Of Enron And Parmalat. *European Scientific Journal, 12*(16), 283-290. Retrieved from URL:http://dx.doi.org/10.19044/esj.2016.v12n16p283

[3] Garvey, G. T., & Swan, P. L. (1994, April). The economics of corporate governance: Beyond the Marshallian firm. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 139-174. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0929-1199(94)90001-9

[4] Zingales, L. (1998, June). Corporate Governance. *The new Palgrave Dictionary of Economics and law.* doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.46906

[5] Ciftci, I., Tatoglu, E., Geoffrey, W., Demirbg, M., & Zaim, S. (2019). Corporate governance and firm performance in emerging markets: Evidence from Turkey. *Interntionl Business Review, 28*, 90-103.

[6] Pillai, r., & Al-Malkawi, H.-A. N. (2018, April). On the relationship between corporate governance and firm performance: Evidence from GCC countries. *Research in International Business and Finance, 44*, 394-410.

[7] Hussain, N., Rigoni, U., & Orij, R. P. (2018, May). Corporate Governance and Sustainability Performance: Analysis of Triple Bottom Line Performance. *Journal of Business Ethics, 149*(2), 411-432

[8] Mutlu, C. C., Peng, M. W., Essen, M. V., Saleh, S. F., & Duran, P. (2018, September). Corporate Governance in China: A Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Management Studies, 55*(6), 943-979. doi: 10.1111/joms.12331